



Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

very deed relied upon in proof of title is called in question by the grantee's admission that he held merely as trustee for another, who had paid the purchase money,¹⁵ or that the deed was a fraud upon creditors,¹⁶ or had been antedated;¹⁷ admissions, like any other parol evidence, are competent to prove the state of the title. The doctrine of admissions is to be kept distinct also from the rule that declarations, by one in possession, relating to the character or extent of his possession, are admissible as part of the *res gestæ*, giving color to the act of possession.

RECENT CASES.

BANKRUPTCY — INVOLUNTARY PROCEEDINGS — EFFECT OF CHANGE OF OCCUPATION. — A was engaged in mercantile pursuits during the period when the debts scheduled in a petition in bankruptcy against him were contracted, and the assets acquired or owned. At the dates of the act of bankruptcy, and of the filing of the petition, he was engaged in farming. *Held*, that he is nevertheless amenable to bankruptcy proceedings. *Re Burgin*, 173 Fed. 726 (D. Ct., N. D., Ala.). See NOTES, p. 393.

BANKRUPTCY — PREFERENCES — EXCHANGE OF PROPERTY. — A buyer of cows advanced part of the purchase price. The bankrupt within the four months period delivered the cows giving credit for the advance. *Held*, that the delivery is not a preference. *Templeton v. Kehler*, 173 Fed. 575 (Dist. Ct., E. D. Pa.).

Upon the assumption that the buyer had reasonable cause to believe a preference was intended, the case seems wrong on principle. There is, however, sanction for it in the authorities. See *Mills v. Virginia-Carolina Lbr. Co.*, 164 Fed. 168. Obviously there can be no distinction between delivery of chattels and payment of money. In either case if the bankrupt at the time of delivery or payment owes this creditor an antecedent debt, such delivery is a preference. *In re Wolf*, 98 Fed. 84. Thus payment of the purchase price within ten days after delivery of the goods under a contract for a so-called "cash sale" is a preference. *In re Morrow & Co.*, 134 Fed. 686. But it is not necessary that the exchange of property and money be actually simultaneous to protect the creditor. *In re Davidson*, 109 Fed. 882. It is submitted that if, after the creditor has parted with title and all control over the property, the bankrupt delivers chattels or money in exchange within the four months' period, such delivery should be deemed a preference. Whether title has passed should be determined by the intent of the parties according to the usual rules in the law of sales. See *Bussey v. Barnett*, 9 M. & W. 312.

BILLS AND NOTES — ANOMALOUS INDORSER — PRIMA FACIE LIABILITY. — A made a note payable to B. Before delivery to B, X signed his name on the back. *Held*, that the *prima facie* liability of X is that of a co-maker. *Borden v. Hornthal*, 65 S. E. 513 (N. C.).

BILLS AND NOTES — STATUTES — NEGOTIABLE INSTRUMENTS LAW: EFFECT ON STATUTE MAKING USURIOUS NOTES VOID. — N. Y. Am'd L. 1879, c. 538, § 5, declared void all notes given for usurious consideration. The Negotiable Instruments Law provides that a holder in due course is free from defenses avail-

¹⁵ *Gibblehouse v. Stong*, *supra*.

¹⁶ *Norton v. Pettibone*, 7 Conn. 319.

¹⁷ *Cook v. Knowles*, 38 Mich. 316.